Role of modern cosmology for fundamental physics and for BNO research

Alexei A. Starobinsky

Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics RAS, Moscow-Chernogolovka, Russian Federation

International conference "Physics and Fundamental Interactions" dedicated to the 50th anniversary of Baksan Neutrino Observatory Nalchik, Kabardino-Balkaria, Russian Federation, 08.06.2017 History of our Universe

Present matter content of the Universe

Dark matter and dark energy

4 fundamental cosmological constants

Cosmology for super-high-energy particle physics

Cosmology for neutrino physics and for BNO research

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Conclusions

Four epochs of the history of the Universe $H \equiv \frac{a}{a}$ where a(t) is a scale factor of an isotropic homogeneous spatially flat universe (a Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker background):

 $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + small perturbations$

The history of the Universe in one line: four main epochs

? $\longrightarrow DS \Longrightarrow FLRWRD \Longrightarrow FLRWMD \Longrightarrow \overline{DS} \longrightarrow$?

Geometry

$$|\dot{H}| << H^2 \Longrightarrow H = \frac{1}{2t} \Longrightarrow H = \frac{2}{3t} \Longrightarrow |\dot{H}| << H^2$$

Physics

 $p \approx -\rho \Longrightarrow p = \rho/3 \Longrightarrow p \ll \rho \Longrightarrow p \approx -\rho$

Duration in terms of the number of e-folds $\ln(a_{fin}/a_{in})$

> 60 ~~ 55 ~~ 7.5 ~~ 0.5



Main epochs of the Universe evolution – before 1979

The history of the Universe in one line: two main epochs

? \longrightarrow FLRWRD \implies FLRWMD \implies ?

Geometry

$$H = \frac{1}{2t} \Longrightarrow H = \frac{2}{3t}$$

Physics

$$p = \rho/3 \Longrightarrow p \ll \rho$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Present matter content of the Universe

In terms of the critical density

$$\begin{split} \rho_{crit} &= \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} = 0.920 \times 10^{-29} \left(\frac{H_0}{70}\right)^2 \text{g/cm}^3\\ \Omega_i &= \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_{crit}}, \quad \sum_i \Omega_i = 1\\ \text{where the Hubble constant } H_0 &= 70 \pm 3 \text{ km/s/Mpc} \end{split}$$

(neglecting spatial curvature - less than 0.5%):

- ► Baryons (p,n) and leptons (e⁻) ≈ 5% No primordial antimatter.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Photons } (\gamma) \qquad \qquad 4 \times 10^{-5}$
- $T_{\gamma} = (2.72548 \pm 0.00057) \text{K}$ • Neutrinos ($\nu_e, \nu_{\mu}, \nu_{\tau}$)

< 0.5%

 $\approx 70\%$

$$\sum_{i} m_{\nu i} < 0.2 \text{ eV}, \quad \sum_{i} m_{\nu i} = 46 \Omega_{\nu} \left(\frac{H_0}{70}\right) \text{ eV}.$$

- Non-relativistic non-baryonic dark matter $\approx 25\%$
- Dark energy

Dark matter

Dark matter and dark energy are seen through gravitational interaction only – we know the structure of their effective energy-momentum tensor.

DM - non-relativistic, gravitationally clustered.

DE - relativistic, unclustered.

Definition of their effective EMT – through equations (conventional).

DM - through the generalized Poisson equation:

$$\frac{\bigtriangleup \Phi}{a^2} = 4\pi G(\rho - \rho_0(t)).$$

 $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is measured using the motion of 'test particles' in it.

- a) Stars in galaxies \rightarrow rotation curves.
- b) Galaxies \rightarrow peculiar velocities.
- c) Hot gas in galaxies \rightarrow X-ray profiles.
- d) Photons \rightarrow gravitational lensing (strong_and_weak).

Observations: DM is non-relativistic, has a dust-like EMT – $p \ll \epsilon = \rho c^2$, p > 0, collisionless in the first approximation – $\sigma/m \lesssim 0.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$, and has the same spatial distribution as visible matter for scales exceeding a few Mpc.

Ground experiments: very weakly interacting with baryonic matter, $\sigma < 10^{-43} \text{ cm}^2$ for $m \sim (50 - 100)$ GeV.

Dark energy

Two cases where DE shows itself:

- 1) inflation in the early Universe primordial DE,
- 2) present accelerated expansion of the Universe present DE.

Quantitative and internally self-consistent definition of its effective EMT - through gravitational field equations conventionally written in the Einstein form:

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G} \left(R^{\nu}_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \, \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} R \right) = \left(T^{\nu}_{\mu(\text{vis})} + T^{\nu}_{\mu(DM)} + T^{\nu}_{\mu(DE)} \right) \; ,$$

 $G = G_0 = const$ - the Newton gravitational constant measured in laboratory.

In the absence of direct interaction between DM and DE:

$$T^{\nu}_{\mu\,(DE);\nu}=0\;.$$

Possible forms of DE

► Physical DE.

New non-gravitational field of matter. DE proper place – in the rhs of gravity equations.

► Geometrical DE.

Modified gravity. DE proper place – in the lhs of gravity equations.

A - intermediate case.

Observations: $T^{\nu}_{\mu(DE)}$ is very close to $\Lambda \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}$ for the concrete solution describing our Universe;

 $| < w_{DE} > +1 | < 0.1$,

where $w_{DE} \equiv p_{DE}/\epsilon_{DE}$.

 $w_{DE} > -1 - \text{normal case},$

 $w_{DE} < -1$ – phantom case,

 $w_{DE} \equiv -1$ – the exact cosmological constant ("vacuum energy").

Four fundamental cosmological constants

- One-to-one relation to the four epochs of the history of the Universe.
- A fundamental theory beyond each of these constants.
 - Characteristic amplitude of primordial scalar (adiabatic) perturbations.

$$<\zeta^{2}(\mathbf{r})>=\int rac{P_{\zeta}(k)}{k}\,dk,\ \ P_{\zeta}(k)=2.2 imes10^{-9}\left(rac{k}{k_{0}}
ight)^{n_{s}-1}$$

 $k_0 = 0.05 \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}, \ n_s - 1 = -0.035 \pm 0.005$

Theory of initial conditions – inflation. Its simplest model (Starobinsky, 1980) predicted the slope of the spectrum relating it finally to $N_H = \ln \frac{k_B T_{\gamma}}{\hbar H_0} \approx 67.2$:

$$n_s - 1 = -\frac{2}{N}$$

where $N = N_H - O(10)$ is the number of e-folds from the end of inflation. Baryon to photon ratio.

$$\frac{n_b}{n_\gamma} = 6.01 \times 10^{-10} \ \frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.0022} \ \left(\frac{2.725}{T_\gamma(\mathrm{K})}\right)^3, h = \frac{H_0}{100} \ .$$

Theory of baryogenesis.

Baryon to total non-relativistic matter density.

$$\frac{\rho_b}{\rho_m} = 0.167 \ \frac{\Omega_b}{0.05} \ \frac{0.3}{\Omega_m}$$

Theory of dark matter.

Energy density of present dark energy.

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{\epsilon_{DE}}{c^2} = 6.44 \times 10^{-30} \frac{\Omega_{DE}}{0.7} \left(\frac{H_0}{70}\right)^2 \text{ g/cm}^3 ,$$
$$\frac{G^2 \hbar \epsilon_{DE}}{c^7} = 1.25 \times 10^{-123} \frac{\Omega_{DE}}{0.7} \left(\frac{H_0}{70}\right)^2 .$$
Theory of present dark energy.

The minimal present standard cosmological model

 $\Lambda CDM + (\mathcal{K} = 0) + (scale-invariant adiabatic perturbations)$ contains two more parameters:

- H_0 not a constant, but a present value of H(t);
- ► $\tau \approx 0.07$ optical width after recombination a constant, but not fundamental.

4 fundamental cosmological constants \implies no more than 4 cosmological "coincidences", all other "coincidences" exist already at the level of usual laboratory physics.

Outcome of inflation

In the super-Hubble regime $(k \ll aH)$ in the coordinate representation:

 $ds^{2} = dt^{2} - a^{2}(t)(\delta_{lm} + h_{lm})dx^{l}dx^{m}, \ l, m = 1, 2, 3$

$$h_{lm} = 2\zeta(\mathbf{r})\delta_{lm} + \sum_{a=1}^{2} g^{(a)}(\mathbf{r}) e_{lm}^{(a)}$$

$$e_l^{l(a)}=0, \,\, g_{\ ,l}^{(a)}\, e_m^{l(a)}=0, \,\, e_{lm}^{(a)}\, e^{lm(a)}=1$$

 ζ describes primordial scalar perturbations, g – primordial tensor perturbations (primordial gravitational waves (GW)). The most important quantities:

$$n_s(k) - 1 \equiv \frac{d \ln P_{\zeta}(k)}{d \ln k}, \quad r(k) \equiv \frac{P_g}{P_{\zeta}}$$

CMB temperature anisotropy

Planck-2015: P. A. R. Ade et al., arXiv:1502.01589



CMB temperature anisotropy multipoles



◆ロ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ―臣 ― 少々ぐ

CMB E-mode polarization multipoles



Comparison of the Planck results with simple smooth models



▲□ > ▲□ > ▲ 三 > ▲ 三 > ● ④ < ④

Combined BICEP2/Keck Array/Planck results P. A. R. Ade et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 031302 (2016); arXiv:1510.09217



The simplest models producing the observed scalar slope

$$f(R) = R + \frac{R^2}{6M^2}$$

$$M = 2.6 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{55}{N}\right) M_{Pl} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{13} \,\text{GeV}$$

$$n_s - 1 = -\frac{2}{N} \approx -0.036, \quad r = \frac{12}{N^2} \approx 0.004, \quad N = \ln \frac{k_f}{k}$$

$$H_{dS}(N = 55) = 1.4 \times 10^{14} \,\text{GeV}$$

The same prediction from a scalar field model with $V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda \phi^4}{4}$ at large ϕ and strong non-minimal coupling to gravity $\xi R \phi^2$ with $\xi < 0$, $|\xi| \gg 1$, including the Brout-Englert-Higgs inflationary model.

Hints for super-high-energy particle physics

If no new fundamental dimensionless constants not found in experiments and observations are introduced, the simplest inflationary models predicts the existence of a particle (or a quasi-particle like plasmon) with the characteristic mass $\sim 10^{13}$ GeV. However, this mass occurs in curved space-time with $H\sim 10^{14}$ GeV.

On the the other hand, and independently, the electroweak vacuum of the SM of particle physics seems to be unstable for energies $E \gtrsim 10^{11}$ GeV in the Minkowski space-time due to high-order quantum corrections to the Higgs potential.

Some discrepancy between these numbers. However, possible new particles with masses in between ($\sim 10^{12}$ GeV) may remove it. Then inflation might save SM. These particles may be useful for effective baryosynthesis, too.

Upper limits on the $\sum m_{\nu}$ and N_{ν}

Follow from the (absence of) small-scale cut-off in the Fourier power spectrum of matter non-homogeneity (seen using $\Delta T/T$, galaxy counts, cluster redshift abundance, etc.). In the case of N_{ν} , data on primordial abundance of light elements produced by BBN are used, too.

The present conservative result for the standard cosmological model: $\sum_{i} m_{\nu i} < 0.23 \text{ eV}$. Expected to be lowered to $\sum_{i} m_{\nu i} \lesssim 0.05 \text{ eV}$ during next several years.

The Planck-2015 result for the effective number of neutrino types: $N_{eff} = 3.15 \pm 0.23$.

Standard cosmology does not favor sterile neutrinos.

Why interest in one sterile neutrino?

Anomalies in some ground experiments though with marginal statistical significance:

- 1. The MiniBoone anomaly.
- 2. Gallium anomaly in the SAGE and GALLEX experiments.

3. Reactor anomalies.

If confirmed, their explanation requires a fourth (sterile) neutrino with the restmass $m \sim 1 \text{ eV}$.

Will this destroy cosmology completely?

Possibility of one sterile neutrino with $m_4 \sim 1 \; {
m eV}$ in modified gravity

Modified gravity, in particular the f(R) gravity (but more complicated than $R + R^2$ model used for inflation), permits one sterile neutrino with $m \leq 1.5$ eV.

 H. Motohashi, A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 121302 (2013).
 A. S. Chudaikin, D. S. Gorbunov, A. A. Starobinsky, R. A. Burenin, JCAP **1505**, 004 (2015) - data on rich cluster abundance added.

An example of a cosmological model satisfying all viability conditions in the present Universe (Starobinsky, 2007):

$$f(R) = R + \lambda R_0 \left(rac{1}{\left(1 + rac{R^2}{R_0^2}
ight)^n} - 1
ight)$$

with $n \ge 2$. f(0) = 0 is put by hand to avoid the appearance of a cosmological constant in the flat space-time.

However, cosmological data by themselves (with marginalization over m_4) do not give preference to this model over the standard cosmology with 3 neutrino types. It is only if m_4 is fixed, then cosmology with one sterile neutrino much heavier than standard neutrinos fits cosmological observational data significantly better than the standard ACDM model.

Conclusions

- At present, cosmology requires the introduction of at least four fundamental constants to describe observational data, additional to those known from ground and Solar system experiments.
- ► One new fundamental cosmological parameter n_s 1 has been measured recently, but the theory had been able to predict it more than 30 years before the discovery.
- Regarding the present dark energy:

a) still no statistically significant deviation from an exact cosmological constant;

b) one constant is sufficient to describe its properties;

- c) no more than one new "coincidence problem".
- Regarding the primordial dark energy driving inflation in the early Universe:

a number of inflationary models having only one free parameter can explain all existing observational data.

- ▶ The typical inflationary predictions that $|n_s 1|$ is small and of the order of N_H^{-1} , and that r does not exceed ~ $8(1 - n_s)$ are confirmed. Typical consequences following without assuming additional small parameters: $H_{55} \sim 10^{14} \,\text{GeV}, \ m_{infl} \sim 10^{13} \,\text{GeV}.$
- ► The standard cosmological model bounds the sum of neutrino masses to $\sum_{i} m_{\nu i} \lesssim 0.2 \text{ eV}$ and does not favor sterile neutrinos.
- Cosmology based on f(R) gravity admits one massive sterile neutrino with the mass $m_4 \sim 1 \text{ eV}$, and for a fixed mass $m_4 = 1 \text{ eV}$, it fits cosmological observational data significantly better than the standard ACDM model.