

The possibility of experiments to determine parameters of neutrino oscillations on a short baseline

V.V.Gorbachev (BEST) BNO, Institute for Nuclear Research, RAS

International Session-Conference of the Section of Nuclear Physics PSD RAS 2017 Nalchik, Russia, June 6-8, 2017 Oscillations on a short baselines

$$P_{ee} = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta \cdot \sin^2 (1.27 \frac{\Delta m^2 (eV^2) \cdot L(m)}{E_v (MeV)})$$



[Th. Lasserre, Neutrino 2012]

Sensitivity to oscillations

≠ Posibility of determining the parameters of oscillations

4 Ga source experiments: $\Delta m^2 = 2.15 \text{ eV}^2 \text{ and } \sin^2(2\theta) = 0.24$



The real parameters of oscillations with almost equal probability can take values in the half of the entire area of the allowed values

Can the proposed experiments to determine the actual oscillation parameters?

Ga experiment BEST



- 1) capture rates in two zones are different
- 2) capture rates in both zones are suppressed

Examples of allowed oscillation parameters in the experiment BEST



Examples of allowed oscillation parameters in the experiment BEST - 2



Possibilities for Borexino





Dependence of counting rate from distance w/o oscillations (red) (blue – for solar background)



The amplitude of the oscillations $(\sin^2(2\theta))$ can be determined by the total counting rate

The parameter Δm^2 is associated with the distortion of the shape of this dependence

Consider the possibility of determining these distortions

Examples of distortion

Throw events in 1 energy bin in the spectrum of antineutrinos (Monte-Carlo) The value of Δm^2 obtained in the analysis must be the same as specified



Possibilities for DANSS

a = 1 mAnti-neutrino source – Nuclear Reactor $R_1 = 1.5 \text{ m}$ $L_0 = 10 \text{ m}$ 1.8 < E < 8 MeV $N = 10^4 \text{ events / day}$

L – real distance L_1 – "visible" distance



E = 2.5 MeV, $\Delta E = 0.25 \text{ MeV}$ N=10⁵ events evenly distributed (Δm^2 , sin²2 θ) = (3, 0.9) Analysis: (Δm^2 , sin²2 θ) = (0.68, 0.55) $\chi^2 = 135/100$



Oscillations in DANSS

E = 2.5 MeV, $\Delta E = 0.25$ MeV N=10⁵ events evenly distributed (Δm^2 , sin²2 θ) = (3, 0.9) R₁ = 15 cm

Analysis:

```
(\Delta m^2, \sin^2 2\theta) = (5, 0.61)
\chi^2 = 877/100
```





Area of possible determining of the parameters blue $-\Delta E = 0$

red $-\Delta E = 0.25 \text{ MeV}$

The width of energy bin does not affect the form For a wide range of energies in a nuclear reactor (\sim 1.8-8 MeV) for each value of dm2 (0÷10 eV2) one can choose energy bin with maximum sensitivity.

The combined analysis is not needed (unlike Borexino, where the total result for all bins gives an increase in sensitivity)

But here is not taken into account the transitions between bins (the error in determining E)

Conclusions

- Considered the possibility of three different experiments on determination of oscillation parameters
- Continuous neutrino spectrum has a different effect on the sensitivity of the experiments
- Reliable determination of the oscillation parameters can be combined analysis of all experiments
- It is interesting to compare the oscillation parameters of neutrino (BEST) and antineutrino (Borexino and DANSS) to test CPT in the neutrino sector